Case 1:09-cv-00407-OWW-DLB Document	838 Filed 02/24/11 Page 1 of 8
Kamala D. Harris, State Bar No. 146672 Attorney General of California Robert W. Byrne, State Bar No. 213155 Supervising Deputy Attorney General Clifford T. Lee, State Bar No. 74687 Cecilia L. Dennis, State Bar No. 201997 Allison Goldsmith, State Bar No. 238263 Deputy Attorneys General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5395 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Cecilia.Dennis@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff Intervenor California Department of Water Resources	TES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
THE DELTA SMELT CASES	1:09-cv-407 OWW
SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY, et al. v. SALAZAR, et al.	Consolidated With: Case No. 1:09-cv-422 OWW GSA Case No. 1:09-cv-631 OWW GSA Case No. 1:09-cv-892 OWW GSA
STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. SALAZAR, et al.	Partially Consolidated With: Case No. 1:09-cv-480 OWW GSA Case No. 1:09-cv-01201-OWW-DLB
COALITION FOR A SUSTAINABLE DELTA, et al. v. UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR INTERIM REMEDY THROUGH JUNE 30, 2011
METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA v. UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, et al.	Date: February 25, 2011 Time: 8:30 a.m. Courtroom: 3 Judge: Honorable Oliver W. Wanger
STEWART & JASPER ORCHARDS, et al. v. UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, et al.	
FAMILY FARM ALLIANCE v.	

cv-407 OWW)

WHEREAS on December 14, 2010, the Court entered its "Memorandum Decision re Cross Motions for Summary Judgment" (Doc. 757) in the above-captioned Consolidated Delta Smelt Cases. The Memorandum Decision concluded that parts of the December 15, 2008 Biological Opinion (BiOp) addressing the impacts of the coordinated operations of the federal Central Valley Project (CVP) and the State Water Project (SWP) on the Delta smelt and its reasonable and prudent alternatives were arbitrary, capricious, and unlawful;

WHEREAS on December 27, 2010, the Court entered an "Amended Order on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment" (Doc. 761). The Amended Order remanded the BiOp to Defendant United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) without vacatur for further consideration. This amended order remains in effect except as modified by this stipulation;

WHEREAS the parties seek to settle and compromise issues relating to the interim operation of the CVP and the SWP related to effects to Delta smelt through June 30, 2011;

WHEREAS USFWS intends that its determinations regarding, and the CVP and SWP compliance with, the OMR flow criteria identified in this stipulation will provide equivalent protection for Delta smelt through June 30, 2011, as the protection set forth in the BiOp.

The parties hereby agree and stipulate to enter into the following agreement for interim operations of the CVP and the SWP:

 This agreement is for the limited purpose of resolving CVP and SWP operations through June 30, 2011. This agreement shall become effective immediately upon entry of this Stipulation and Order by the Court.

2. Operating Criteria for Interim Remedy

Once Action 2 or Action 3 is triggered under the BiOp by USFWS, the Interim Remedy will provide for a 14-day average Old and Middle River (OMR) flow in a range between -1,250 cfs to -6,100 cfs. The simultaneous 5-day running average of the OMR requirements shall be within 25 percent of the 14-day average. USFWS will set and may modify OMR flows within this range based upon best available science using real time data concerning overall Delta smelt distribution, turbidity, salvage, incidental take, temperature and other relevant physical and

Case 1:09-cv-00407-OWW-DLB Document 838 Filed 02/24/11 Page 3 of 8

biological factors. As of the date of this stipulation, no actions have been triggered under the BiOp this year. If OMR flows are triggered, USFWS anticipates setting initial OMR flows within a range of -5,000 cfs and -6,100 cfs in an experimental fashion if USFWS determines that the best available science and consideration of all of the factors listed above indicate that such flows would be adequately protective of smelt and consistent with avoiding jeopardy to listed species and adverse modification to designated critical habitat. Any determination to change OMR flow from the initial flow level will be based on best available science using real time data concerning overall Delta smelt distribution, turbidity, salvage, incidental take, temperature and other relevant physical and biological factors. USFWS will consider the technical information provided through the enhanced coordination process set forth below in making its determinations. This agreement does not affect Reclamation's obligation to operate the CVP to meet other legal requirements or DWR's obligation to operate the SWP to meet other legal requirements.

3. <u>Enhanced Coordination Process</u>

The existing Smelt Working Group (SWG) and Water Operations Management Team (WOMT) will be used to advise USFWS on smelt conservation needs and water operations. In addition, a Delta Condition Team (DCT) consisting of scientists and engineers from the state and federal agencies, water contractors, and environmental groups will meet on Monday mornings to review the real time operations and Delta conditions, including data from new turbidity monitoring stations and new analytical tools such as the Delta smelt behavior model. The members of the DCT will provide their individual information to the SWG in accordance with a process provided by the SWG, which will meet later on Monday morning to assess risks to Delta smelt based upon Delta conditions and the other factors set forth in paragraph 2 above. The SWG and individuals of the DCT may provide, in accordance with a process provided by the WOMT, their information to the WOMT for its consideration in developing a recommendation to USFWS for actions to protect Delta smelt and other listed fish. The WOMT will supply information for USFWS to consider, including impacts to other species, and water supply impacts. After meeting with the WOMT, USFWS shall make the final determination on OMR flow criteria to be

24

25

26

27

28

implemented by Reclamation and DWR and shall explain its determination in writing based on the best available science. USFWS will increase the transparency of the decision process by documenting the basis for decisions and providing all supporting documentation to interested parties via USFWS's website. USFWS will finalize a determination regarding OMR flow criteria and provide it to the Court consistent with the 48 hour notification described below.

4. Notice to the Court

USFWS will submit a notice to the Court 48 hours in advance of the implementation by Reclamation and DWR of any determinations that will result in a change to OMR flows. The notice to the Court will include an explanation for the change based on the factors identified in paragraphs 2 and 3 above, and, to the extent that the WOMT has provided information to USFWS on water supply impacts, the notice to the Court will include these impacts. If USFWS submits a notice to the Court, any party may provide information on economic impacts and other information that it deems relevant, through its own notice to the Court. Any party may seek judicial review of and relief from such changes to OMR flows. Should any Plaintiff or Plaintiff-Intervenor seek judicial review under paragraph 4 of this stipulation, the terms of this stipulation shall immediately and henceforth become inoperative.

- 5. This agreement does not limit the rights of Plaintiffs and Plaintiff-Intervenor to seek an interim remedy in the Consolidated Delta Smelt Cases for project operations after June 30, 2011. Nor does this agreement limit the rights of Plaintiffs and Plaintiff-Intervenor to move for injunctive relief in the Consolidated Salmonid Cases (Case No. 1:09-cv-1053-OWW), nor does it otherwise affect that case nor the 2009 Biological Opinion on the CVP and SWP issued by the National Marine Fisheries Service that is at issue in that case. This agreement also does not limit the rights of Federal Defendants and Defendant-Intervenors from raising any and all defenses to any interim remedies and requests for injunctive relief put forward by Plaintiffs in this matter and in the Consolidated Salmonid Cases.
- 6. Execution of this stipulation shall not be deemed an admission of any issue of fact or law by any party, and shall not be deemed a waiver of any claim or defense or basis for appeal

	Case 1:09-cv-00407-OWW-DLB Document 83	38 Filed 02/24/11 Page 5 of 8	
1	raised by any party. This stipulation is for the lim	ited purpose of addressing CVP and SWP	
2	operations through June 30, 2011, and shall not be	e binding or considered precedential for any	
3	other purpose. By entering into this agreement, th	e parties do not necessarily concede any of the	
4	findings or conclusions contained in the Court's p	rior orders and decisions.	
5	7. The parties request that the Court set a status conference on or about June 1, 2011, to		
6	address the time frame within which the USFWS will complete consultation in order to issue a		
7	new Biological Opinion and to set forth a schedule to determine criteria for interim operations		
8	pending completion of that Biological Opinion.		
9	Dated: February 24, 2011	Respectfully submitted,	
10	Dated. Tebruary 24, 2011	Kamala D. Harris	
11		Attorney General of California ROBERT W. BYRNE	
12		Supervising Deputy Attorney General CLIFFORD T. LEE	
13		CECILIA L. DENNIS ALLISON GOLDSMITH	
14		Deputy Attorneys General	
15		/s/ Cecilia L. Dennis CECILIA L. DENNIS	
16		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff Intervenor California	
17		Department of Water Resources	
18			
19	Dated: February 24, 2011	BEST, BEST & KREIGER LLP	
20		/s/ Gregory K. Wilkinson	
2122		GREGORY K. WILKINSON	
23		Attorneys for Plaintiff State Water Contractors	
24	Dotadi Fahmany 24 2011	DIEPENBROCK HARRISON	
25	Dated: February 24, 2011	DIEPENBROCK HARRISON	
26		/s/ Eileen M. Diepenbrock	
27		EILEEN M. DIEPENBROCK Attorneys for Plaintiffs San Luis & Delta-	
28	5	Mendota Water Authority and Westlands Water District	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR INT	TERIM REMEDY THROUGH JUNE 30, 2011 (1:09-	

	Case 1:09-cv-00407-OWW-DLB [Document 838 Filed 02/24/11 Page 6 of 8
1	D. 1 E. 1 24 2011	NOGGAMANIAR
2	Dated: February 24, 2011	NOSSAMAN LLP
3		/s/ Paul S. Weiland
4		PAUL S. WEILAND
5		Attorneys for Plaintiff Coalition for a Sustainable Delta and Kern County Water Agency
6		Agenty
7	Dated: February 24, 2011	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
8		/s/ Christopher J. Carr
9		CHRISTOPHER J. CARR
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
11		water District of Southern California
12	Dated: February 24, 2011	PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION
13		/s/ Brandon M. Middleton
14		
15 16		BRANDON M. MIDDLETON Attorneys for Plaintiffs Stewart & Jasper Orchards, Arroyo Farms, LLC, and King Pistachio Grove
		Fisiacnio Grove
17 18	Dated: February 24, 2011	THE BRENDA DAVIS LAW GROUP
19		/s/ Brenda W. Davis
20		BRENDA W. DAVIS
21		Attorneys for Plaintiff Family Farm Alliance
22	Dated: February 24, 2011	IGNACIA S. MORENO
23	, ,	Assistant Attorney General
24		Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice
25		/s/ Ethan C. Eddy
26		ETHAN C. EDDY
27		Attorneys for the Federal Defendants
28		6
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] OR	DER FOR INTERIM REMEDY THROUGH JUNE 30, 2011 (1:09-

	Case 1:09-cv-00407-Ovvvv-DLB Docum	ent 838 Filed 02/24/11 Page / 018
1 2	Dated: February 24, 2011	NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
3		/s/ Katherine Poole
4		KATHERINE POOLE
5		Attorneys for Defendant Intervenor Natural Resources Defense Council
6		
7	Dated: February 24, 2011	EARTHJUSTICE
8		/s/ George Torgun
9		GEORGE TORGUN
10		Attorneys for Defendant Intervenor The Bay Institute and Natural Resources Defense Council
11		Councii
12		
13	FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN,	
14	SO ORDERED:	
15	Dated: February, 2011	THE HONORABLE OLIVER W. WANGER U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16		
17		
18	SA2009309047	
19		
20		
21		
2223		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		7
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FO	OR INTERIM REMEDY THROUGH JUNE 30, 2011 (1:09-

cv-407 OWW)

	Case 1:09-cv-00407-OWW-DLB Document 838 Filed 02/24/11 Page 8 of 8
1	DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY E-MAIL
2	Case Name: The Delta Smelt Cases No.: 1:09-cv-407 OWW
3	I declare:
456	I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter; my business address is: 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000, San Francisco, CA 94102-7004; my e-mail address is Inez.Crawford@doj.ca.gov.
7	On February 24, 2011, I served:
8	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR INTERIM REMEDY THROUGH JUNE 30, 2011
10	by transmitting a true copy via electronic mail as follows:
11	Dr. Thomas Quinn E-Mail Address: TQuinn@U.Washington.edu
12	Dr. Andre Punt E-Mail Address: ThePuntFam@aol.com
13 14 15	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on February 24, 2011, at San Francisco, California.
16	Inez Crawford /s/Inez Crawford
17	Declarant Signature
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	8
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR INTERIM REMEDY THROUGH JUNE 30, 2011 (1:09-

cv-407 OWW)